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The pomegranate fruit (Punica granatum) has become an international high-value crop for the production of commercial pomegranate juice (PJ). The perceived consumer value of PJ is due in large part to its potential health benefits based on a significant body of medical research conducted with authentic PJ. To establish criteria for authenticating PJ, a new International Multidimensional Authenticity Specifications (IMAS) algorithm was developed through consideration of existing databases and comprehensive chemical characterization of 45 commercial juice samples from 23 different manufacturers in the United States. In addition to analysis of commercial juice samples obtained in the United States, data from other analyses of pomegranate juice and fruits including samples from Iran, Turkey, Azerbaijan, Syria, India, and China were considered in developing this protocol. There is universal agreement that the presence of a highly constant group of six anthocyanins together with punicalagins characterizes polyphenols in PJ. At a total sugar concentration of 16 °Brix, PJ contains characteristic sugars including mannitol at >0.3 g/100 mL. Ratios of glucose to mannitol of 4–15 and of glucose to fructose of 0.8–1.0 are also characteristic of PJ. In addition, no sucrose should be present because of isomerase activity during commercial processing. Stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry as >−25‰ assures that there is no added corn or cane sugar added to PJ. Sorbitol was present at <0.025 g/100 mL; maltose and tartaric acid were not detected. The presence of the amino acid proline at >25 mg/L is indicative of added grape products. Malic acid at >0.1 g/100 mL indicates adulteration with apple, pear, grape, cherry, plum, or aronia juice. Other adulteration methods include the addition of highly concentrated aronia, blueberry, or blackberry juices or natural grape pigments to poor-quality juices to imitate the color of pomegranate juice, which results in abnormal anthocyanin profiles. To adjust the astringent taste of poor-quality juice or peel extract, addition of nonpomegranate sugars is a commonly detected adulteration method. The profile generated from these analyses combined with information from existing databases and published literature has been integrated into a validated IMAS for PJ, which can be utilized to detect PJ adulteration. In this survey of commercial pomegranate juices, only 6 of 23 strictly met all of the IMAS criteria.
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INTRODUCTION

Six anthocyanin pigments are responsible for the red-purple color of pomegranate juice (PJ). The anthocyanin profile determined by these six anthocyanins is the same in California, Spanish, Italian, Iranian, and Tunisian PJ (1–4). In addition, pomegranate ellagitannins account for >90% of the antioxidant activity in PJ (5, 6). Among these ellagitannins, punicalagin is the one that is most characteristic and found almost exclusively in PJ (6). However, the presence of these ellagitannins alone is insufficient to establish the authenticity of PJ, because these substances can be obtained from peel extracts. Therefore, other chemical characteristics of PJ obtained from whole fruit were investigated to establish PJ authenticity.

Other studies have established that PJ has superior antioxidant activity compared to other popular refrigerated juices (7–9). Medical and nutritional research has catapulted pomegranate and PJ into a prominent position in international and domestic...
Detection of Pomegranate Juice Adulteration

The observation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (11) that adulteration of juices is occurring commonly in the marketplace led to the present investigations designed to develop reliable analytical methods for detecting adulteration of pomegranate juice. Our previous research has demonstrated that the combination of polyphenols found in PJ after squeezing whole pomegranate fruit has greater biological activity than isolated polyphenols (12), and the scientific research on PJ demonstrating health benefits has largely been carried out with juice squeezed from whole fruit (13–15).
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To establish a reference point for these studies, other databases from The European Fruit Juice Association (AIJN) (16) and a database from Krueger Food Laboratories (17) were used to establish initial parameters of authenticity. Then our analyses, reported in the present paper, developed new parameters for mannitol and tartaric content of PJ and stable isotope ratio analysis (SIRA) to detect adulteration with C4 sugars.

The present studies employed a panel of different analytical techniques to study pomegranate fruit purchased from the market and 23 different commercial juices from local markets claiming to be authentic pomegranate juice. Complete chemical profiles including pomegranate polyphenols, sugar profiles, organic acids, amino acids, and potassium were determined in all samples to develop a practical International

Figure 2. (A) Typical anthocyanin chromatogram illustrating the six anthocyanins found in authentic PJ (sample S, peak 1, delphinidin-3,5 diglucoside; peak 2, cyanidin-3,5-diglucoside; peak 3, delphinidin-3-glucoside; peak 4, pelargonidin-3,5-diglucoside; peak 5, cyanidin-3-glucoside; peak 6, pelargonidin-3-glucoside). (B) Atypical HPLC chromatogram from a juice illustrating the presence of PJ anthocyanins as well as anthocyanins not found in PJ (sample M). (C) Atypical HPLC chromatogram without any PJ anthocyanins but with other anthocyanins not found in PJ (sample G). (D) Atypical HPLC chromatogram from a juice with no detectable anthocyanins (sample W).
Multidimensional Authenticity Specification (IMAS) algorithm for the determination of the authenticity of commercial pomegranate juice (Figure 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents. All solvents were of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade and purchased from Fisher Scientific Co. (Tustin, CA). Cyanidin-3-glucoside and punicalagin A and B standards were purchased from Chromadex (Irvine, CA). Sucrose, glucose, fructose, mannitol, and sorbitol standards were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ellagic acid, citric acid, D-malic acid, L-malic acid, oxalic acid, and tartaric acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Pomegranate Juice Samples. All juice samples were purchased from local grocery stores. The numbers of lots of the same juice ranged from one to six on the basis of accessibility and market availability. All of the commercial pomegranate juices were labeled A–W. The juice samples were inventoried, shaken well, transferred into five 15-mL centrifuge tubes, and stored at −20 °C before testing. Frozen juices were thawed at 4 °C in a refrigerator and then shaken by hand before sampling for analysis.

Pomegranate Polyphenol Analysis. Pomegranate polyphenols were quantitated using a Waters Alliance 2695 HPLC system coupled with a Waters 996 PDA detector and Empower 2 software. For anthocyanin analysis, the juice samples were separated by a Phenomenex Gemini-NX 5 µm C18, 4.6 × 250 mm, column and detected at 520 nm. A linear gradient of two component solvents, acetonitrile (solvent A) and 1% H3PO4/10% acetic acid in water (solvent B), at a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min was used for the elution of the components of juice. The initial gradient was 5% solvent A and 95% solvent B, with increases in solvent A to 15, 25, and 40% at 10, 30, and 40 min, respectively. For punicalagin A and B, punicalin, and ellagic acid level analysis, the juice samples were applied to an Agilent Zorbax SB C18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 250 mm) with a guard column (C18, 5 µm, 3.9 × 20 mm) and detected at 360 nm. A linear gradient of two component solvents, acetonitrile (solvent A) and 0.4% H3PO4 in water (solvent B), at a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min was used for the elution of the components of juice. The gradient initially contained 5% solvent A and 95% solvent B, increasing solvent A to 15, 25, and 40% at 10, 30, and 40 min, respectively.

Sugar Analysis. A Waters Alliance 2695 HPLC system coupled with a Waters 2414 refractive index detector, an Alliance column heater, and a RI detector module controlled by Empower 2 software was applied for the sugar content analysis. For analysis of sucrose and maltose, the juice samples were separated by a Waters Spherisorb 5 µm NH2, 4.6 × 150 mm, column and detected by the Waters RI detector. An isocratic mix of two component solvents, acetonitrile (20%) and water (80%), at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min was used for the elution of the components of juice. The column temperature was set at 35 °C. For analysis of glucose, fructose, mannitol, and sorbitol, the juice samples were separated by a Waters Sugar Pak I (300 × 6.5 mm) column and detected by the Waters RI detector. Pure water at a flow
rate of 0.6 mL/min was used for the mobile phase. The column temperature was set at 80 °C.

For the detection of added cane sugar and high-fructose corn syrup, stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry was used to accurately determine carbon-13 enrichment. $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios were measured by mass spectrometry. In terrestrial plant tissue, the principal source of variations in $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios is the different photosynthetic pathways for CO₂ fixation. Plants fix CO₂ by one of two common pathways: the Calvin cycle pathway (C3) or the Hatch–Slack pathway (C4). C3 photosynthesis has a very large isotope effect associated with carbon dioxide fixation, whereas C4 photosynthesis involves only a small degree of carbon isotope fractionation. $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios for most plant tissues tend to be clustered in two ranges associated with the C3 and C4 pathways. The sample is combusted to CO₂, and the ratio of the ions m/e 45 ($^{13}C^{16}O_2$) and m/e 44 ($^{12}C^{16}O_2$) is measured. This sample ratio is compared with that of a reference gas of known $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio. In this way, very small differences from the standard ratio may be precisely measured. $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios are reported as parts per thousand (per mil, ‰) difference between the sample ratio and the ratio of an arbitrary standard. PDB carbon isotope fractionation. $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios for most plant tissues tend to be clustered in two ranges associated with the C3 and C4 pathways.

The sample is combusted to CO₂, and the ratio of the ions m/e 45 ($^{13}C^{16}O_2$) and m/e 44 ($^{12}C^{16}O_2$) is measured. This sample ratio is compared with that of a reference gas of known $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio. In this way, very small differences from the standard ratio may be precisely measured. $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratios are reported as parts per thousand (per mil, ‰) difference between the sample ratio and the ratio of an arbitrary standard Pee Dee Belemnit limestone (PDB) according to the formula

$$\delta^{13}C = 1000 \times \left[ \frac{R_{sample}}{R_{PDB}} - 1 \right]$$

where $R = ^{13}C/^{12}C$. The isotope ratio was measured with a VG 903 ratio mass spectrometer. The standard used was CO₂ prepared from acid hydrolysis of a marble secondary standard calibrated against NBS Solenhofen Limestone Standard Reference Material.

**Organic Acid and Amino Acid Analysis.** A Waters Alliance 2695 HPLC system coupled with a Waters 996 PDA detector and running Empower 2 software was applied for the organic acid content analysis. The juice samples were separated on a combination of Supelco Discovery (C18, 5 µm, 4.6 × 150 mm) and Agilent Zorbax SB (C18, 5 µm, 4.6 × 250 mm) columns with a guard column (C18, 5 µm, 3.9 × 20 mm) and detected at 214 nm. A linear gradient of two component solvents, acetonitrile (solvent A) and 0.4% H₃PO₄ in water (solvent B), at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min was used for the elution of the components of juice. The gradient started from 0% of solvent A and 100% of solvent B, increasing solvent A to 15% and 50% at 30 and 40 min, respectively. Samples were analyzed for proline by photometric detection at 520 nm after reaction with ninhydrin (AOAC method 979.20).

**Potassium.** Potassium was measured with a flame photometer using a potassium filter. The PJ samples were diluted with water to below 10 ppm prior to analysis.

**RESULTS**

Chemical determinations of anthocyanin, ellagitannin, sugar profiles, organic and amino acids, and potassium contents were carried out in 45 commercial juice specimens from 23 different manufacturers purchased at local grocery stores (see Tables 1–3). Whereas concentrations of ellagitannins varied in commercial PJ samples tested, the characteristic ellagitannins were present in 45 samples from 23 different manufacturers, indicating the presence of some pomegranate juice or peel extract even in those juices having abnormal anthocyanin profiles. Characteristic HPLC anthocyanin profiles of an authentic juice and one that has an abnormal profile are shown in Figure 2. As shown, there are six anthocyanin peaks present in sample C, which is authentic, whereas there is an abnormal profile for sample G.

As indicated in federal guidelines (quality), PJ must have a 6°Brix level of 16 determined by the solids (sugar) content, if made from concentrate and without added flavors or other ingredients (29). This U.S. single criterion was designed as a quality specification to discourage overdistillation of authentic juices, and other countries have designated various lower 6°Brix standards (e.g., 14–16). As shown in Table 2, juice samples from 19 of 23 manufacturers had a 6°Brix level of ≥14. The mannitol, sorbitol, and sucrose levels and glucose to fructose ratios are also shown in Table 2. The ratio of glucose to fructose varied in a narrow range of 0.8−1.0 in the authentic PJ. In addition, sucrose was not detected in the authentic PJs, whereas sorbitol was present at ≤0.03 g/100 mL. However, there were a number of other commercial PJs in which sucrose and sorbitol were detected in significant amounts (see Table 2). As shown in Figure 3 there are characteristic ratios of the glucose and fructose peaks demonstrated in the chromatogram of sample O (Figure 3A) and an abnormal ratio of these sugars together with the presence of sucrose in sample 1 (Figure 3C) and with the presence of sucrose in sample G (Figure 3B) on the HPLC profile.

Finally, stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry detected the presence of sugars from cane or corn (C4) sources rather than fruit sugars in multiple samples. Mannitol was detected at ≥4 mg/mL in samples judged to be authentic PJ by having a characteristic polyphenol profile.

Organic acids characteristic of authentic PJ were detected including malic acid at ≤0.1 g/100 mL. However, in several of the commercial juice samples, tartaric acid was detected. The authentic PJ samples contained >1800 mg of postassium/L. The organic acids and potassium detected are listed in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

An algorithm emerged from our consideration of the above analyses enabling the detection of major adulteration practices
as outlined in Table 4. The IMAS was then configured to enable detection of each of these methods through measurement of polyphenols, sugar profile, organic and amino acids, and potassium by establishing the ranges that were characteristic of authentic and nonauthentic pomegranate juice samples (see Table 5).

We concluded that authentic commercial pomegranate juice made from whole fruit is characterized by consistent composition regardless of variety or geographic origin. There are always six characteristic anthocyanins present, but the concentrations may vary (see Figure 1). Punicalagins are always present, but do not prove authenticity, necessitating further chemical characterization. There is a characteristic multidimensional sugar profile based on measurement of mannitol, glucose, and fructose. Characteristic organic acid concentrations and ratios and an absence of uncharacteristic organic acids further enable detection of common adulteration methods. Finally, both °Brix and potassium are in characteristic ranges of concentration.

The establishment of the IMAS for PJ based on the analyses in the present study is a model that can be used for other examples of fruit juice adulteration. The current federal guideline for PJ authenticity is based only on the minimum quality specification of 16 °Brix value for juice made from concentrate. This criterion assumes that the starting concentrate is authentic PJ and merely establishes a degree of dilution that is permissible.

In today’s competitive health food marketplace, considerable economic incentives exist to sell diluted and adulterated juices (11). The high cost of the fruit along with the potential for high earnings motivates some manufacturers to adulterate pomegranate juice. In some cases, the juice concentrate, especially when imported from abroad, can be adulterated prior to shipment to the domestic juice manufacturer. The practice of obtaining lower cost juice concentrates that have been adulterated allows some companies to easily gain an economic advantage over those companies selling 100% pure pomegranate juice made from concentrate.

Detection of the fraudulent methods being used is important not only to those who manufacture the authentic product but also to the economic health of the farmers who grow the pomegranates and to consumers who purchase this product for its health value but are cheated through substitution of non-pomegranate juice ingredients. Of the commercial PJs tested in this research only about 35% qualified as authentic pomegranate juice (see Table 5).

Sucrose, invert sugars, and high-fructose syrups such as high-fructose corn syrup can be detected by using SIRA. The photosynthetic process in fruits is different than that in cane sugar or corn, resulting in their having a different preference for carbon-13 compared to carbon-12 in the process of photosynthesis. Corn and sugar cane belong to the less common C4 photosynthetic category, whereas most other commercially important plants belong to the C3 category (18). This observation was subsequently developed into a practical method for detecting cane sugar and corn syrup in orange juice (19, 20) and apple juice (21). This procedure has since been collaboratively evaluated and incorporated as an AOAC official method (22). Subsequent work has extended these findings to evaluations of grape juice (23), cranberry juice (24), raspberry juice (25), pineapple juice (26), and other fruits (27), including pomegranate (28). SIRA can accurately detect adulteration with cane or corn-derived sugars.

Both sugar profile and organic acids can be used to detect adulteration with grape or apple sugars. The total level of acids strongly depends on the pomegranate variety and the degree of

---

**Table 5. Summary of Authentication Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>polyphenols</th>
<th>sugar profile (determinant)</th>
<th>organic and amino acids</th>
<th>potassium</th>
<th>conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (n = 6)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J (n = 3)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>(minimal sucrose)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (n = 2)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>slightly low</td>
<td>OK*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>°Brix (slightly low)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 2)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, sorbitol)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>adulterated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 3)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (SIRA, sucrose, sorbitol)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (SIRA, sucrose, sorbitol)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (SIRA, sorbitol)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>adulterated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (SIRA, sucrose, mannitol)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, sorbitol, SIRA)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (n = 3)</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, sorbitol)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (n = 1)</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (n = 3)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (SIRA)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (n = 3)</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, sorbitol)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (n = 4)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, Glu/Fru ratio)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q (n = 3)</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, mannitol)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (n = 1)</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, sorbitol, mannitol, Glu/Fru ratio)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W (n = 1)</td>
<td>atypical</td>
<td>atypical (sucrose, SIRA, Glu/Fru ratio)</td>
<td>atypical (proline)</td>
<td>atypical adulterated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The °Brix of 15.1 (sample C) could simply be a dilutional issue if made from concentrate, and the very low potassium (sample N) is unlikely to be due to adulteration. The latter may be an analytical error, and more lots would be tested for IMAS specification in such a case.

---

**Table 6. Observed Methods of Pomegranate Juice Adulteration**

- addition of nonpomegranate anthocyanins from aronia, grape skin, elderberry, black currant, or black carrot as detected by atypical anthocyanin profile
- addition of cane sugar or corn sugar as detected by stable isotope ratio mass spectrometry, presence of sucrose, or presence of maltose
- addition of sorbitol-containing fruit juices such as apple, pear, cherry, or aronia as detected by the presence of nonpomegranate anthocyanins, elevated levels of sorbitol, malic acid, or sucrose
- addition of grape juice and grape skin color as detected by elevated levels of malic acid, proline, tartaric acid, grape anthocyanins, or other nonpomegranate anthocyanins
- addition of citric acid as detected by low isotopic acid and high citric/isocitric acid ratios
ripeness. The time of the harvest significantly influences the sugar/acid (°Brix/acid) ratio (29, 30). Total titratable acid concentrations of 10.6–13.5 g/L were found. Additionally, malic acid at <0.1 g/100 mL was detected, whereas d-malic acid, an artificial additive, should not be found in authentic PJ. Contrary to Melgarejo et al. (31) and Poyrazoglu et al. (32), who reported tartaric acid contents of up to 0.014 g/100 g in Spanish pomegranates and 0.28–2.83 g/L in Turkish pomegranates, respectively, we did not detect tartaric acid in U.S. California pomegranate fresh fruit or juice. A close examination of the methodologies in the above papers indicates that they are likely detecting other organic acids such as l-malic acid normally found in pomegranate. We conclude that pomegranates do not contain tartaric acid, which is most commonly found in grapes. The detection of tartaric acid indicates adulteration with grape products. Sucrose, sorbitol, and maltose were not found in authentic PJ in our laboratory, and their presence indicates adulteration. Previous studies of 40 Spanish pomegranate cultivars detected low sucrose concentration levels of 0.07 g/100 g in fresh fruit (31). In several other studies, no sucrose was detected in fresh pomegranate fruit (33). The detection of sucrose indicates that adulteration with cane sugar is likely. Other common sources of sugars for adulteration are high-fructose syrups and invert sugars. Beet or cane total invert sugars are produced from refined beet or cane sucrose by either acid or enzymatic hydrolysis, resulting in a finished product containing a 1:1 ratio of glucose/fructose and low levels of sucrose. High-fructose syrups are produced by the enzymatic hydrolysis of corn, potato, rice, or wheat starch. The resulting glucose syrup is partially converted to fructose by enzymatic treatment.

The sugar alcohol sorbitol is not found in pomegranate juice at concentrations of >0.03 g/100 mL but occurs in apple juice, and its detection at higher concentrations suggests adulteration with apple juice or other sorbitol-containing juices (such as aronia). D-Sorbitol indicates addition of synthetic sweetener and should not be detected in PJ. Generally, investigators find zero to trace levels of sorbitol in the pomegranate (34). D-Sorbitol may also be an indicator of spoilage as microorganisms are capable of reducing the carbonyl group of fructose to form sorbitol (35). Mannitol is found is significant amounts in pomegranate but is not balanced by sorbitol as is commonly found in apple juice. Therefore, most of the adulteration tactics can be detected by examining the sugar profile.

Ultimately, adulteration can result in dilution of components other than sugar including potassium. Pomegranate juice is a rich source of potassium containing >2000 mg/L. The finding of <1300 mg/L is consistent with the dilution of PJ with other juices. Potassium typically is the main mineral in pomegranate juice. Like most other fruit varieties, fresh pomegranates contain only traces of sodium by nature.

The history of juice adulteration for profit is extensive, and this latest example seeks to capitalize on millions of dollars of research conducted with genuine PJ while denying the public the very phytochemicals that account for the unique health benefits of PJ. Furthermore, use of nonauthentic PJ in research studies could lead to false-negative results, undermining the progress made in research to date. The IMAS established in our studies could potentially be used by government inspectors to deter manufacturers who may be importing inferior juices from international sources or purposely using inferior materials from domestic sources. Labeling adulterated juices as 100% PJ is misleading and a disservice to consumers. It also carries a potential safety risk.
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